

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,991	08/21/2003	Greg Benson	M-15109-3C US	9038
Rovi Corporat	7590 06/29/201	EXAMINER		
2830 De La Ci	uz Boulevard	VON BUHR, MARIA N		
Santa Clara, C	A 95050		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2121	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/645,991	BENSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MARIA VON BUHR	2121	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

	reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	after the mailing date of this commu	nication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status			
2a) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fill This action is <b>FINAL</b> . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.	2b) This action is non-infor allowance except for	formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>151-188</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>151-152-170 and 171</u> is/ar  Claim(s) <u>153-169 and 172-188</u> is/a  Claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from consider rejected. The objected to.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)🛛	Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted ection to the drawing(s) be he g the correction is required if	d or b) objected to by the Examiner.  eld in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l		y documents have been re y documents have been re of the priority documents onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17	pocitived. sectived in Application No. <u>08/594,811</u> . have been received in this National Stage 7.2(a)).
Attachmen	t(e)		
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/fiviali Date
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 20110324.	5) [ 6) [	Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:
S. Patent and T PTOL-326 (F		Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20110620

## DETAILED ACTION

- Examiner acknowledges receipt of Applicant's response to the previous Office action, received 24
  March 2011; which cancels claims 54-150, and introduces claims 151-188. Claims 151-188 are now pending
  in this application.
- Note: the current claim listing does not indicate the disposition of claims 1-53 as having been
  previously cancelled. Any future claim listing submitted by Applicant should include the status of all the
  claims. See 37 CFR 1.121 (MPEP §714).
- Examiner acknowledges receipt of Applicant's information disclosure statement, received 24 March 2011, with accompanying reference copies. This submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, it has been taken into consideration for this Office action.

Examiner notes that the citations of Office actions, and Applicants' responses, from related application prosecution histories have been considered, but are lined through on the PTO-1449 form, because they are not considered to be "prior art," and as such should not print on the cover sheet of any patent that may issue from this application.

- 4. In response to Applicant's amendment, the 35 U.S.C. §112, first and second paragraph, 35 U.S.C. §\$102(b) and (e), and 35 U.S.C. §103(a) rejections of the claims are deemed to have been overcome and are, therefore, withdrawn as being moot.
- 5. Claims 154-158, 162-166, 173-177 and 181-185 are objected to, since "corresponding" needs to be replaced with -- corresponds --, to provide for proper grammar. Appropriate correction is required in response to this Office action.
- 6. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. §101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPO 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPO 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. §101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. §101.

- 7. Claim 151 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101, as claiming the same invention as that of claim 54 of co-pending Application Serial No. 09/164,606. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.
- 8. The non-statutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

9. Claims 151 and 152 are rejected on the ground of non-statutory double patenting over claim 14 of U.S. Patent No. 5,845,281 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Claim 14 of U.S. Patent No. 5,845,281 contains every element of claims 151 and 152 of the instant application and as such anticipate claims 151 and 152 of the instant application.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why Applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schmeller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPO 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP \$804.

10. Claims 170 and 171 are rejected on the ground of non-statutory double patenting over claim 14 of U.S. Patent No. 5,845,281 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Claim 14 (method) of U.S. Patent No. 5,845,281 contains every element of claims 170 and 171 (system) of the instant application and as such anticipates claims 170 and 171 (system) of the instant application. In other words, the instant claims are the system equivalents of the method claim of the patent, and as such would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why Applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP §804.

11. Claim 152 is provisionally rejected on the ground of non-statutory double patenting over claim 54 of co-pending Application Serial No. 09/164,606. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the co-pending application and is covered by the co-pending application since the co-pending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Claim 54 of co-pending application 09/164,606 contains every element of claim 152 of the instant application and as such anticipates claim 152 of the instant application.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other co-pending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP \$804.

12. Claims 170 and 171 are provisionally rejected on the ground of non-statutory double patenting over claim 54 of co-pending Application Serial No. 09/164,606. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the co-pending application and is covered by the co-pending application since the co-pending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Claim 54 (method) of co-pending application 09/164,606 contains every element of claims 170 and 171 (system) of the instant application and as such anticipates claims 170 and 171 (system) of the instant application. In other words, the instant claims are the system

equivalents of the method claim of the co-pending application, and as such would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other co-pending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP §804.

- 13. Claims 153-169 and 172-188 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. Applicant is advised to carefully review the cited art, as evidence of the state of the art, in preparation for responding to this Office action.
- 15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP §706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.36(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARIA VON BUHR whose telephone number is (571)272-3755. The examiner works a part-time schedule and can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday (9am-7pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/M.N. VON BUHR/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2121